	Case 1:22-cv-01410-JLT-SKO	Document	46 F	Filed 04/09/25	Page 1 of 2		
1							
1 2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	MARQUISE LOUIS DRUMWF	RIGHT,	Case 1	No.: 1:22-cv-0141	0-JLT-SKO (PC)		
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER LIFTING PREVIOUSLY				
13	v.		IMPOSED STAY				
14	C. HUCKLEBERRY, et al.,		ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF THE COURT TO ISSUE DISCOVERY AND				
15	Defendants.	Defendants.			SCHEDULING ORDER		
16	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to						
17	42 U.S.C. § 1983.						
18	On November 4, 2024, this Court issued its Order Referring Case to Post-Screening ADR						
19	and Staying Case for 120 Days. (Doc. 38.) The Court ordered the parties to file notice, within 45						
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	days, stating whether they believed an early settlement conference would be productive in this						
22	matter. (Id. at 2.)						
23	Following the parties' responses and related scheduling matters, the case was set for a						
24	settlement conference. On April 8, 2025, Magistrate Judge Christopher D. Baker conducted a						
25	settlement conference in this matter; negotiations were not successful. (Doc. 45 [Minutes].)						
26	Because this action did not settle, the Court will lift the previously imposed stay and issue						
27	a discovery and scheduling order.						
28							

## Case 1:22-cv-01410-JLT-SKO Document 46 Filed 04/09/25 Page 2 of 2 **CONCLUSION AND ORDER** Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The previously imposed stay of this action (Doc. 38) is **LIFTED**; and 2. The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to issue a discovery and scheduling order in this matter. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Sheila K. Oberto Dated: **April 9, 2025** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE